The war in Ukraine:
Addressing the crisis and preparing for its impact

accenture
In this POV, we survey some of the consequences of the war in Ukraine to date. We also frame the critical uncertainties that will shape scenarios about the war’s economic and business impact.

We close with actions that organizations may consider to strengthen their outlook, regardless of the scenario that ultimately unfolds.
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The war’s impact to date

The Ukraine invasion has had a deep human, economic and business impact.

A humanitarian disaster

In only a few weeks, thousands of lives have been lost. More than 4.9 million refugees have fled Ukraine to date and at least 7.1 million people have been displaced within the country. The growing humanitarian crisis has led to an influx of public and private aid. On March 10, the US Congress, for example, approved US$13.6 billion in emergency spending for Ukraine. The EU has announced €10 billion (US$11 billion) in aid for Ukrainian refugees.

Source: Accenture Research analysis based on: Eurostat data on asylum applicants by type; UNHCR’s operational data portal on the Ukraine refugee situation—numbers are from February 24 to April 18.
The war’s impact to date

Unpredictable economic and cyber conflict

Within one week of the invasion, governments around the world passed a range of sanctions. Met with retaliatory countermeasures by Moscow, the sanctions have impacted the flow of some goods and services. The sanctions also could trigger retaliatory cyberattacks. Accenture Cyber Threat Intelligence has observed volunteer hacktivists and cyber criminals threaten to attack Western critical infrastructure and leak sensitive, stolen data. National governments continue to reinforce the message that organizations worldwide should remain vigilant for renewed Russian activity designed for maximum service disruption.

Significant organizational challenges

The sanctions triggered actions by many businesses beyond governmental requirements. More than 750 companies have announced plans to suspend, scale back or close their operations in Russia, affecting, among others, at least 3,500 retail outlets across the country. Supply-chain disruptions could be even more substantial: More than 2,100 US companies and at least 1,200 EU companies have Tier 1 suppliers in Russia. And those numbers expand sharply for subcontractors: more than 190,000 US companies and at least 109,000 EU companies have Tier 2 and 3 suppliers in Russia.
Economic and business impact
The current view among leading forecasters is that the war will lead to a material deceleration in growth.8

Supply shocks

Before the invasion, Russia supplied around 10% of the world’s crude oil, as well as almost 40% of the European Union’s natural gas. The country is also the top exporter of nickel (used in car batteries) and palladium (used in car-exhaust systems).10

Meanwhile, Ukraine and Russia together supply 26% of global exports of wheat, 16% of corn exports and 30% of barley exports.11 Supply disruptions of these key commodities have led to considerable price volatility. Over the past month, oil prices have reached as high as US$130/barrel, while European gas prices climbed above €200/MWh (US$220/MWh).

A prolonged supply shock could ripple across industries, driving inflationary pressures and supply chain disruptions. Energy price increases, for example, would impact most industries via direct energy costs or input cost increases (e.g., steel costs would rise due to pricier energy; costs for automotive parts would rise due to pricier steel). Inflationary pressures could also be felt in sectors affected by restricted trade in other products. For example, high wheat and grain prices may increase prices of foodstuffs. High metal and mineral prices may increase prices of electronics and industrial equipment.

Under a baseline scenario, Oxford Economics forecasts that the world will avoid recession, but global GDP will be 1.1 percentage points lower in 2022, relative to pre-war forecasts made in January.9

Beyond the scale and duration of the conflict itself, at least four uncertainties create risks that could lead to very different scenarios unfolding:

Economic and business impact

Inflation

Even before the war, inflation had hit multi-decade highs in the US and Europe, driving up costs for consumers. Accelerated inflation from soaring energy and other commodity prices can impact consumer confidence and spending.

In March, the University of Michigan’s measure of US consumer sentiment dropped to its lowest reading since September 2011. Declines are also visible in the EU consumer sentiment survey.12

In parallel, inflationary pressure may accelerate the rise of wage inflation in some countries and industries. The industries that could be most impacted by wage inflation are those in which labor represents a large part of their overall cost structure.

Globalization

Many commentators have argued that the invasion and sanctions may contribute to greater market fragmentation and deglobalization.13 A decline in global integration had already been a prevailing trend prior to the war. World trade (relative to GDP) has been on the decline since 2008.14 Tariffs and other barriers to trade have been increasing.

The number of industrial policy interventions made by governments over the past five years increased by more than 200%.15

Some, though, have expressed hope for an alternative path. Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala, director general of the World Trade Organization, has urged a move for “re-globalization,” saying, “Deeper, more diversified international markets remain our best bet for supply resilience.”16

Energy transition

Some have argued that the conflict could make the green-energy transition more challenging, at least in the near-term.17

The crisis has brought energy security back into conversation alongside sustainability. Some European countries and states in the US have introduced measures to cut energy taxes and compensate households for higher gas prices.18 But there are also signs that some countries may double down on the energy transition and amplify incentives to expand greener energy production.19
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These and other uncertainties lead to a wide range of potential scenarios for the impact of the crisis on the global economy and business in the near-term. At least three scenarios with different levels of impact over the next year can be considered:

01 Controlled impact
- Sanctions do not escalate further and may even be scaled back as part of a negotiated truce, alleviating supply disruptions.
- Commodity prices return to pre-war levels.
- Consumer and business confidence increases, and companies and people return to pre-war investment plans and spending.

02 Ongoing impact
- The supply of key commodities continues to be disrupted throughout 2022. An oil and gas embargo remains limited to a select number of countries.
- Commodity supply shocks lead to a sustained rise in prices in the near term.
- Consumers cut back on some nonessentials and businesses focus on increasing the efficiency of their operations.

03 Protracted impact
- A wider oil and gas embargo leads to significant structural supply disruption.
- Commodity prices remain elevated and volatile into 2023.
- Sustained price rises reduce consumer spending power, contributing to a significant decline in consumer and business confidence and slowdown in growth.
Economic Impact Scenarios

The economic impact of these scenarios, of course, will potentially vary widely by country and region. Compared to the US, Europe’s stronger trade linkages to Russia and heavier reliance on Russian energy imports make it more vulnerable to a growth slowdown. Oxford Economics estimates that, relative to pre-war forecasts, Eurozone GDP would decline by 1.1 to 2.9 percentage points in 2022. European countries with higher dependency on Russian oil and gas would be more significantly impacted. In the event of a protracted impact scenario, Eurozone inflation could rise by 4.1 percentage points in 2022 relative to pre-war forecasts.20

The US, meanwhile, would primarily be affected by higher oil prices and their knock-on effect on household wealth and consumer spending. In the event of a protracted impact scenario, Oxford Economics estimates that US GDP could decline relative to pre-war estimates by 1.0 percentage points in 2022 and 0.6 percentage points in 2023.21

Beyond Europe and the US, net oil and commodity importers like Japan and India would be affected by a sustained period of high oil and commodity prices. Emerging-market commodity importers in Asia and Africa would be particularly exposed to higher prices. These nations also would be affected more by the strain on food supply chains. At least 50 countries rely on Russia and Ukraine for 30% or more of their wheat supply—among the most reliant are emerging economies in Asia and northern Africa.22
Potential economic impact of the war in Ukraine

**The Eurozone’s trade relationships make it vulnerable to a slowdown**

Forecasted impact on Eurozone GDP relative to pre-war forecast (Percentage point change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ongoing impact scenario</th>
<th>Protracted impact scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Based on mapping Oxford Economics’ World Economic Prospects estimates to our scenarios. Data as of 8th April 2022. Pre-conflict refers forecast as of Jan 2022.

**The U.S. could be impacted by the ripple effects of higher prices**

Forecasted impact on US GDP relative to pre-war forecast (Percentage point change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ongoing impact scenario</th>
<th>Protracted impact scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Based on mapping Oxford Economics’ World Economic Prospects estimates to our scenarios. Data as of 8th April 2022. Pre-conflict refers forecast as of Jan 2022.
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Inflation is forecast to rise in the Eurozone...
Forecasted impact on Eurozone inflation relative to pre-war forecast (Percentage point change)

- Ongoing impact scenario
- Protracted impact scenario

...and in the U.S.
Forecasted impact on US inflation relative to pre-war forecast (Percentage point change)

Source: Based on mapping Oxford Economics’ World Economic Prospects estimates to our scenarios. Data as of 8th April 2022. Pre-conflict refers forecast as of Jan 2022.

Different industries could also feel the war’s impact to different extents. High oil and gas prices mean energy-intensive manufacturing sectors may be most affected. In the US chemicals sector, for example, energy costs represent 35% of total input costs; in Europe, they represent 21%.23

Specific pockets within supply chains may have greater exposure to the crisis, too. Some car manufacturers, for instance, have been closing assembly lines due to shortages of wiring harnesses manufactured in Ukraine.25

Shortages of wheat and other commodities could affect the consumer goods and service industry, where raw materials represent total input costs of 24%.
Operating in an uncertain world
As the shock of the invasion reverberates through the world economy, executives can consider how to position their businesses to minimize the impact.

Not every company may be affected in the same way. Organizations that operate in Ukraine and Russia have been most immediately affected and are focused on the welfare of their people. For organizations outside the conflict zone, the focus has been on complying with sanctions, responding to supply chain disruptions and assessing the impact on customers.

When facing such unforeseen challenges, many leaders aim to strengthen the resilience of their organizations by prioritizing adaptability. Digitizing enterprise functions at speed and in parallel, rather than sequentially, therefore seems essential for many companies. The premium on agility will intensify the focus on compressed transformation.

Regardless of how the situation evolves, companies can consider taking a series of simultaneous actions around

- Strategy
- Systems
- Supply chains
- People
- Ecosystems
Some organizations analyze and model the transmission of shocks and stress-test their strategy using scenario planning. Leaders concerned about substantial impact based on this analysis can reactivate proven tools from the pandemic and other past crises. Among them are “watchtowers,” or collating data on critical uncertainties to catch risks early.

The value of flexible strategies is influenced by the quality of insights used in developing and amending such strategies. To find new patterns in data and better anticipate future decisions, organizations can capture real-time data from inside and outside the organization and across the value chain, and process it with AI-enabled analytic tools.26
The war has brought to the fore the potential of cyberattacks to disrupt business operations. Whether the conflict is quickly resolved or continues, securing assets now can help fortify their longer-term resilience.

In the near term, organizations can take high-priority mitigations suggested by Accenture Cyber Threat Intelligence, which include:

- Patch all externally facing infrastructure, such as VPN appliances, firewalls, web servers and load balancers.
- Log and monitor events for suspicious activity, back up data and isolate backups that might allow malware to spread.
- Implement security training and awareness programs that show employees how to identify and report phishing attacks. Such programs could be extended to ecosystem partners whose cybersecurity affects those they interact with.
- Maintain standard cybersecurity hygiene practices, like regularly updating software and firmware.

Longer-term resilience comes when security and business leaders align as partners in reducing risk. Actions include:

- reviewing alert-response capabilities, such as treating malware detection with the highest priority across the organization;
- segmenting IT and OT (operational technology) networks where appropriate, such as within industries responsible for critical infrastructure; and
- reviewing incident-response and business-continuity plans.
A pressing priority for organizations is to understand their level of supply chain exposure. This can start with a tactical review of risk by internal and external supplier-management teams. Resilience stress tests can then quantify an organization’s longer-term resilience and simulate how the supply chain would respond to a range of conditions. This knowledge can help companies understand their risk exposure, how long it would take them to recover from disruption and how performance may be affected.

Rising energy prices and potential shortages in key raw materials like (but not limited to) metals and agriculture mean that organizations should take steps to mitigate inflation in the cost of purchased goods. Commodity price risk management is a set of coordinated actions that includes:

- proactive monitoring of vendor disruptions;
- analysis of commodity prices; and
- aggressively seeking out alternative categories and suppliers to help contain cost pressures.

Over time, the value chain parts most affected by continuous disruptions can be made routinely adaptable. Organizations can look to move away from centralized, linear models of supply to more flexible decentralized networks. These networks are often more global, not less. They use factories that are higher tech, smaller, more numerous, more local and closer to customers—thus more capable of quickly producing goods that reflect shifting needs.
The pandemic had already altered the longstanding employee-employer relationship—whether it’s a compressed work week, radically flexible work schedules, variable locations or the need for higher purpose. Continued uncertainty and elevated pressure on personal lives mean organizations will continue to explore how they can provide meaningful jobs.

Some organizations are beginning to implement new ways of working, moving beyond spaces and places to create omni-connected experiences. These will focus on connecting workers in differentiated ways that create a level playing field and a supportive, energizing environment.

Harnessing the entrepreneurial spirit of the workforce could be another crucial way to boost organizational flexibility, allowing companies to find new approaches to solving problems. To create a more entrepreneurial culture, organizations could encourage more employee autonomy, pushing decision-making to the “edges” of their organization.

When companies take this approach, they can better read and respond to the needs of complex, evolving markets. Organizations can also better manage the risks associated with shifting political tides.
Operating in an uncertain world - Ecosystem

By developing more connections across companies’ borders while accounting for geopolitical risk, organizations can be better positioned to strengthen their collective resilience.

In the near term, the power of networks—and the knowledge and experience embedded within them—can support organizations in assessing and managing risk more effectively. In a network, the vast number of information sources available today can be further amplified, creating an early-warning system of what lies around the corner.
Uncertainty: The new reality for business

For leaders and their organizations, there is no return to the relative comfort and safety of the not-so-distant past. The war in Ukraine has made clear that many of the old, comfortable certainties on which business relied are no longer with us.

Going forward, success may ultimately depend on how well leaders adapt to the demands of this new, testing environment. More than ever, their resolve will be critical.
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